

WILLOUGHBY & HOEFER, P.A.
ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS AT LAW

MITCHELL M. WILLOUGHBY
JOHN M.S. HOEFER
RANDOLPH R. LOWELL**
TRACEY C. GREEN
BENJAMIN P. MUSTIAN**
ELIZABETH ZECK*
ELIZABETHANN LOADHOLT CARROLL
CHAD N. JOHNSTON
JOHN W. ROBERTS
R. WALKER HUMPHREY, II***
CHRISTOPHER M. CAMPBELL
ANDREW R. HAND****

ELIZABETH S. MABRY
JAMES PATRICK HUDSON
OF COUNSEL

JOSEPH H. FARRELL, III
SPECIAL COUNSEL

*ALSO ADMITTED IN TEXAS

**ALSO ADMITTED IN WASHINGTON, D.C.

***ALSO ADMITTED IN CALIFORNIA

****ALSO ADMITTED IN NORTH CAROLINA

OFFICES:

COLUMBIA

930 RICHLAND STREET
P.O. BOX 8416
COLUMBIA, SC 29202-8416

AREA CODE 803
TELEPHONE 252-3300
FAX 256-8062

CHARLESTON

151 MEETING STREET
SUITE 325
P.O. BOX 10
CHARLESTON, SC 29402

AREA CODE 843
TELEPHONE 619-4426
FAX 619-4430

June 21, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND E-MAIL

F. David Butler, Esq.
Hearing Officer
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
101 Executive Center Drive
Columbia, South Carolina 29211
David.Butler@psc.sc.gov

Re: Annual Review of Base Rates for Fuel Costs for South Carolina Electric
& Gas Company; Docket No. 2018-2-E

Dear Mr. Butler:

I am writing to you in your capacity as Hearing Officer to seek clarification regarding the decisions of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") in the above-referenced matter.

By Order No. 2018-322(A) dated May 2, 2018, the Commission determined that the fuel purchasing practices and policies of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company ("SCE&G" or the "Company") and other matters associated with S.C. Code Ann. § 58-27-865 (2015) were reasonable and prudent for the period under review and approved the fuel components, factors, and rates set forth therein. Subsequently, the South Carolina Energy Users Committee ("SCEUC"), the South Carolina Solar Business Alliance ("SCSBA"), the South Carolina Coastal Conservation League ("SCCCL") and Southern Alliance for Clean Energy ("SACE"), and the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") filed petitions requesting that the Commission rehear or reconsider its decision in Order No. 2018-322(A). On May 23, 2018, the Commission

issued a directive ("Directive") granting the petition filed by SCEUC and denying the petitions filed by SCSBA, SCCCL, SACE, and ORS.

Based upon the Commission's practice and procedures and the clear inferences contained in the Directive, it is SCE&G's understanding that the Commission intends to issue a more full and complete decision ruling on the petitions for rehearing or reconsideration. Specifically, 10 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-854 provides that "no cause of action shall occur in any court of competent jurisdiction to vacate or set aside any Order of the Commission ... unless a petition for rehearing or reconsideration ... [is] filed with the Commission, **and an Order has been issued disposing of the matter.**" (Emphasis added). In addition, the Directive granted SCEUC's petition for reconsideration, but no final order modifying Order No. 2018-322(A) or otherwise granting such relief has yet been issued, thus indicating the Commission will be issuing an order formalizing its decision.

SCE&G therefore respectfully requests that the Commission clarify this matter and confirm that a more full and complete decision granting SCEUC's petition and denying the petitions of SCSBA, SCCCL and SACE, and ORS is forthcoming.

By copy of this letter, we are serving all parties of record with a copy of this document. Thank you for your assistance with this matter and if you have any questions, please advise.

Very truly yours,

WILLOUGHBY & HOEFER, P.A.

s/Benjamin P. Mustian
Benjamin P. Mustian

cc: Alexander G. Shissias, Esquire
Andrew M. Bateman, Esquire
Richard L. Whitt, Esquire
Jenny R. Pittman, Esquire
J. Blanding Holman, IV, Esquire
Scott Elliott, Esquire
Timothy F. Rogers, Esquire
Katherine Chiles Ottenweller, Esquire
Benjamin L. Snowden, Esquire
Damon E. Xenopoulos, Esquire